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Abstract: Whole genome duplication has played a major role in the evolution of many eukaryotic lineages. Polyploidy has long been postulated as a powerful mechanism for evolutionary innovation, and recent analyses have provided convincing evidence that independent ancient genome duplications occurred in the ancestors of yeast, plants, vertebrates and fish. It is the growing availability of whole genome sequences that has facilitated the detection and analysis of these polyploidizations. However, because polyploidy is often followed by massive gene loss and chromosomal rearrangements, identifying such events is not always easy. Here is presented a review of a wide array of computational methods of ever-increasing sophistication developed to identify the obscured traces of ancient polyploidy events in genomic sequences. These methods use a variety of analytical approaches, including comparative genomics, phylogenetics and molecular clock analyses. We have also reviewed recent research on the long-term evolution of genes and genomes duplicated by polyploidization. This has emerged as a fruitful field, utilizing genome-wide functional information and genomic sequence data to further our understanding of the impact of polyploidy on organismal biology and evolution.
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INTRODUCTION

Modern interest in polyploidy is rooted in Ohno’s [1] proposal that the easiest way to create new genes is to duplicate old ones, but ideas about the role and significance of polyploidy go back right through the 20th century [2]. Ohno’s proposal that two or more rounds of polyploidization occurred during early vertebrate evolution still arouses much debate and active research. Polyploidization is thought to be important because it results in the duplication of all genes, making it a potentially powerful engine of evolutionary novelty.

The detection of cryptic polyploidy has been one of the most productive areas of genome evolution research over the last ten years and it is likely to continue to help to decipher the complex nature of eukaryotic genomes. Put simply, polyploidy is when a nucleus contains more than two copies of each chromosome, as a result of a whole genome duplication event (WGD). Polyploidy can be one of two different types depending on the origin of the duplicated genomes [2]: Autopolyploids result from somatic doubling or the fusion of unreduced gametes within a species, and therefore possess duplicated sets of undifferentiated homologous chromosomes. In autotetraploids chromosomes form tetravalents during meiosis. Each individual locus exhibits tetrasomic inheritance and contains four alleles. Allopolyploids arise from hybridization between different but often closely related species. When the genomes of the diploid parental species are sufficiently differentiated, the duplicated chromosome sets in the allotetraploid form bivalents at meiosis and loci exhibit disomic inheritance like for diploids. One particular type of allopolyploidy, segmental allopolyploidy, arises from the hybridization of species with only partially differentiated chromosome sets. They thus exhibit a mixture of bivalent and tetravalent formation during meiosis [3].

Microscopy has enabled scientists to observe, count and compare chromosomes, and this has allowed polyploidy to be observed and documented in a wide range of eukaryotic species [2]. The polyploidy events that we focus on in this review are, however, difficult or impossible to detect using classical microscopy approaches because they occurred several million years ago. During the evolutionary periods that separate polyploid ancestors from their extant progeny, ancient polyploid genomes generally undergo extensive chromosomal rearrangements (including inversion, insertion, fusion, fission, translocation and massive gene loss), blurring the traces of the WGD event. These rearrangements contribute to a process called diploidization [4], where the polyploid genome progressively returns to a diploid state. Thus, although organisms that exhibit evidence of ancient WGD events are called paleopolyploids, their genomes can and often do behave like diploids. The term paleopolyploidy is in turn used to distinguish these ancient WGD events from more recent polyploidy events that have not yet been followed by diploidization. We are still lacking a general and accurate definition of when a polyploid genome can be considered paleopolyploid, perhaps because our current knowledge of the diploidization process is still incomplete. Nevertheless two key changes associated with a polyploid becoming a paleopolyploid via diploidization are the massive reduction in the number of genes (to closer to that in the diploid ancestors) and the transition from multivalent to...
bivalent chromosome pairing. Although historically genetic mapping data and isozyme electrophoresis were first used to infer paleopolyploidy [2], it is sequencing and post genomic data that has lead to the recent explosion of this domain of research. Early computational approaches focused on detecting evidence of polyploidy in genome sequences, but more recently studies focusing on post-polyploidy genome and gene evolution have also become areas of interest.

Polyploidy was long considered likely in the vertebrate lineage [1, 5], but was unexpected for small genomes like those of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [6] and *Arabidopsis thaliana* [7, 8]. The detection of polyploidy in these lineages was one of the first surprises resulting from the sequencing of small eukaryotic genomes, and computational methods have been central to establishing the growing consensus that polyploidization has occurred and is important in many lineages. Surprisingly it is in the lineages where polyploidy was most expected that it has proved hardest to conclusively show evidence for genome duplication events. This includes the vertebrates, where the idea of two rounds (2R) of WGD early in the vertebrate lineage is a long established, if still contentious, theory, known as the 2R hypothesis [1, 5]. Meanwhile lineages where polyploidy was unexpected (such as the hemiascomycete yeasts) have now been conclusively shown to include a polyploidization. The fact that many model organisms are now clearly demonstrated to be degenerate polyploids lets researchers see what the evolutionary products of genome duplication look like, which should help reveal what contribution genome duplication has made to the evolution of their lineages. The ever increasing quality and quantity of genomic data is allowing for the detection and study of paleopolyploidy in ever more lineages, a recent example being the identification of a WGD that occurred in the lineage leading to the teleost fish after its divergence from mammals [9-11].

Polyploidy has been suggested as being responsible for species radiations in the fish and vertebrate lineages [1, 5, 12]. As polyploidy events are identified in more and more lineages, they may prove to have been responsible for other radiations too. Werth and Windham [13] and Lynch and Force [14] have given a very clear and concise theoretical framework as to how polyploidy may lead to such radiations, and how it does so in a passive manner that can be non-adaptive. The process is rooted in the massive random gene loss that seems to invariably follow a polyploidization event, as most loci return to single copy. The remaining genes have in a majority of cases returned to a single copy state. Secondly, the surviving duplicates delineate pairs of chromosome regions where duplicated genes are organized in colinear order, as seen for example in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in Fig. 1. Within these regions the duplicated genes are interspersed with single copy genes, which in most cases were also duplicated during polyploidization with one of the copies latter lost in one of the regions. The colinearity should initially extend over entire duplicated chromosomes. Over evolutionary time, the ancestral duplicated genomes are scrambled. Inter and intra chromosomal rearrangements, including chromosome fusion, translocation and inversion, break up duplicated chromosomes into smaller duplicated segments. So the third hallmark of polyploidy, and the classical schematic representation of paleopolyploid genomes is a mosaic of megabase sized duplicated blocks covering the majority of the chromosomes. Blocks resulting from the same polyploidy event do not overlap with one another. This feature is an important signature that distinguishes the traces of a polyploidy event from multiple independent duplications of individual chromosomal regions because one would expect regions that had been duplicated once to sometimes become duplicated again, producing three or more copies of the region. Finally,

This review begins by presenting the specific features of paleopolyploid genomes in terms of structure and duplicated genes. These characteristics are at the heart of the strategies employed both to identify the traces of genome duplication and to study paleopolyploid genomes themselves. We will review the different computational techniques that are currently available, their domain of competence and limitations and some potential routes to improve the sensitivity of detection. A number of recent reviews [15, 16] have addressed most current detection methods in some detail, so we will focus primarily on recent developments since then, while giving an overview of all approaches. The majority of the review will then discuss new developments in the analysis of paleopolyploidy, the process of diploidization and post-polyploidy evolution in general. We will look at the impact of functional data on our understanding of these areas and will examine computational methods revealing evolutionary insights from the study of the gene order and content of modern paleopolyploid genomes.

**SOME SPECIFIC FEATURES OF PALEOPOLYPLOID GENOMES**

Analyses of yeast, plant and vertebrate complete genome sequences have revealed common features of genome organization shared by paleopolyploid genomes in different eukaryotic kingdoms. We will highlight here the most important features because they represent the hallmarks of paleopolyploidy and are at the heart of the strategies developed to identify ancient WGD events.

Firstly, the most obvious instantaneous result of polyploidization is the doubling of virtually each gene. The structure of modern paleopolyploid genomes indicates that having twin copies is an unstable state for most genes in the long term. Typically, only 10 to 30% of gene duplications arising from polyploidization are still retained in sequenced paleopolyploid genomes tens of millions of years after the WGD event [17, 18]. The remaining genes have in a majority of cases returned to a single copy state. Secondly, the surviving duplicates delineate pairs of chromosome regions where duplicated genes are organized in colinear order, as seen for example in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in Fig. 1. Within these regions the duplicated genes are interspersed with single copy genes, which in most cases were also duplicated during polyploidization with one of the copies latter lost in one of the regions. The colinearity should initially extend over entire duplicated chromosomes. Over evolutionary time, the ancestral duplicated genomes are scrambled. Inter and intra chromosomal rearrangements, including chromosome fusion, translocation and inversion, break up duplicated chromosomes into smaller duplicated segments. So the third hallmark of polyploidy, and the classical schematic representation of paleopolyploid genomes is a mosaic of megabase sized duplicated blocks covering the majority of the chromosomes. Blocks resulting from the same polyploidy event do not overlap with one another. This feature is an important signature that distinguishes the traces of a polyploidy event from multiple independent duplications of individual chromosomal regions because one would expect regions that had been duplicated once to sometimes become duplicated again, producing three or more copies of the region. Finally,
another specific feature of large-scale duplications such as polyploidizations is that all genes were duplicated simultaneously. Thus a signature of paleopolyploid genomes is that they contain an over representation of duplicated genes created at approximately the same time.

DETECTING ANCIENT POLYPLOIDY EVENTS

Detection methods fall under three main headings: tree-based, age-based and map-based. Tree-based methods look for the symmetric gene tree topologies expected after polyploidization. Age-based methods estimate the age distribution of duplicates in the knowledge that gene pairs derived from a polyploidization are all formed at the same time. Map-based methods use the genomic locations of paralogs and orthologs in outgroup species to identify duplicated regions.

Within these headings the approaches group into two main classes. Intraspecific methods use genomic data from the species under scrutiny and most early developments fell under this heading. These approaches provide a number of ways to uncover a past polyploidization, but are dependent on the presence of ohnologs (paralogs arising due to a polyploidization). Interspecific methods take advantage of genomic data from species related to the one under study. The recent explosion in the number of genome projects has opened up the potential of such approaches.

Tree-Based Approaches and the 2R Hypothesis

Historically the tree-based approach was first used to test the 2R hypothesis, which postulates two rounds of WGD early in the vertebrate lineage [5]. More generally, tree based methods can potentially be used to test any case where successive polyploidy events are suspected. This approach is based on the expectation that there should be $2^n$ orthologs in a paleopolyploid genome for every gene in a genome that diverged before the $n$ polyploidy events. In addition, the 2R hypothesis predicts that the 4 duplicated genes derived from the polyploidizations delineate a symmetric phylogenetic tree topology (i.e. $(A,B)(C,D)$; $A,B,C,D$ representing a four-member gene family in the paleopolyploid genome, see Fig. 2). The alternative hypothesis, i.e. that of sequential gene duplication, will not always predict a symmetric topology. In the case of a four-member family, the sequential duplication model predicts giving rise to a symmetric $(A,B)(C,D)$ topology with a proportion of 1/3 and an asymmetric $(A(B(C,D)))$ topology with a proportion of 2/3 [19]. Thus, the test of the null hypothesis that the symmetric topology should be found with a probability of 1/3 in a sample of rooted four-member gene family trees can be used to test for two WGD events in succession.

The question as to whether two rounds of WGD occurred early in the vertebrate lineage is still under debate. Tree-based methods have in general not been in favor of the 2R
hypothesis [20-24], whereas map-based approaches (discussed further below) have provided arguments supporting it [25-29]. The one-to-four (1:4) rule states that after two rounds (2R) of WGD in vertebrates genes from invertebrates should have four orthologs in vertebrates. The first extensive examination of the one-to-four rule used the Drosophila melanogaster (pre-2R), Caenorhabditis elegans (pre-2R) and human (post-2R) genomes and showed no excess of four-member vertebrate gene families [24, 30, 31]. In addition, 76% of the 92 four-member gene families did not exhibit the symmetrical (A,B)(C,D) topology as predicted by the 2R hypothesis [24]. Given the massive duplicated gene loss that seems to invariably accompany diploidization, the one-to-four rule is probably too conservative, as a majority of genes may have returned to a 1:1, 1:2, or 1:3 ratio after two round of genome duplications. Furthermore, for large gene families, additional single gene duplication events may have occurred after polyploidization, which would result in a one-to-many ratio. Gibson and Spring [32] argued that if the second round occurred before the diploidization of the first round was complete, then this would result in some tetrasomic loci and some octosomic loci in the quadruplicated genome. Gene trees will then simply reflect the random order of diploidization of octosomic loci, rather than the order of chromosomal duplication, and tree topologies will in general be asymmetrical [16]. In line with this view, Furlong and Holland [33] made the proposal that two autotetraploidy events occurred in quick succession in the vertebrate ancestor.

**Age Distribution of Duplicates**

This category of computational approaches relies on the fact that gene pairs derived a polyploidization are formed at the same time. Although a majority of the duplicated genes are lost after an ancestral polyploidization, a substantial number of duplicated genes remain in modern paleopolyploid genomes. For example in Arabidopsis the youngest polyploidy event (20-40 Mya) left at least 5168 duplicated genes [34] out of the ~16000 paralogs found in the genome [7]. Thus if it can be shown that a substantial number of duplicated genes have been created at about the same time, this can be considered as strong evidence that they have been created in a single event such as a polyploidization.

These approaches require the ages of duplication of each gene pair to be estimated. In practice the age of duplication can only be approximated by the age of divergence. These two dates can sometimes be different [35]. In allotetraploids the age of divergence of duplicated genes corresponds to the separation of the two parental diploid genomes, somewhat before the polyploidization event itself and all duplicated genes should have the same age of divergence. In contrast, if the duplicated chromosomes form multivalents during meiosis as in the case of autotetraploidy or segmental allotetraploidy, the ages of divergence of gene pairs will reflect the time of the shift from tetrasomic to disomic inheritance [3], which occurred after the polyploid event. If this switch is not well coordinated among chromosomes, then the age of divergence of gene pairs formed by polyploidization may be scattered over a broad range. Other mechanisms of sequence homogenization between duplicates such as gene conversion may also delay sequence divergence [18, 35].

Dating of duplicated gene pairs generally relies on the molecular clock hypothesis that is the number of substitution between the compared sequences is proportional to the time of divergence [36]. Analysis of synonymous codon positions has been a method of choice because these sites are generally largely free from selection and so are thought to accumulate change at similar rates among genes [37]. The strategy most commonly employed is to estimate the level of synonymous substitution (Ks) between each pair of duplicated genes in a genome (or for a subset of gene pairs residing in large duplicated chromosomal segments) and to plot the number of gene pairs against Ks. The signal of a large-scale duplication event can be observed when a temporal peak of gene duplication is observed in the distribution (Fig. 3). This approach has provided evidence for the polyploid origins of many model plants and teleost fish [3, 11, 17, 38-40].

Schlueter et al. [38] and Maere et al. [17] have developed evolutionary models that can simulate the population dynamics of duplicated genes created by continuous small-scale and periodic large-scale duplication events based on their age distribution in a genome. Models that account for different numbers of large scale events can be fitted to the observed age distribution and likelihood comparison between models allow us to infer the number of large-scale events on a statistical basis. The advantage of age-distribution methods over map-based methods (see below) is

---

**Fig. (2).** Alternative topologies of four membered families resulting from sequential gene duplication or genome duplication. Figure modified from Hokamp et al. [18].

(A) Topologies resulting from duplication of one member of a three-membered gene family. The three different duplication scenarios result in three different trees. The tree from the duplication of gene C and that from the duplication of gene D have asymmetric topologies. (B) Topologies resulting from two genome duplications in succession. All genes are duplicated at each step, resulting in a symmetric tree topology.
that the starting material is not restricted to complete genome sequence as gene pairs can be constructed from EST data as well [38, 39]. In addition, when the rate of synonymous substitution per year is known the modal Ks value that represent the temporal peak of gene duplication can be translated in absolute time. One of the biggest drawbacks of using Ks to measure divergence dates is that synonymous sites are rapidly saturated due to multiple substitutions, so that Ks becomes impossible to estimate with reliability. Hence large-scale duplication can only be inferred when Ks is small (i.e. Ks<1-2 but sometimes more; see [17]), which limits the time frame in which paleopolyploidy can be efficiently detected by this method [39].

Fig. (3). Inference of ancient genome duplication using the duplicate age distribution approach. (A) Ks distribution of pairs of duplicated genes in banana (Figure kindly provided by Magali Lescot). In this example, the relative age of divergence of duplicate pairs was estimated using the level of synonymous substitutions (Ks). A conspicuous peak centered around Ks=0.5 (indicated by a double star symbol) indicates that a high number of gene duplication occurred within a short period of time in the Musa ancestor. This burst of gene duplication is likely to be the result of an ancient WGD event. An initial high density of duplicates (indicated by a single star) is contained within the youngest age classes (0<Ks<0.1). This peak is the product of ongoing single gene duplication processes (see Blanc and Wolfe 2004 for further details). (B) Age distribution of human duplicate pairs inferred from protein distances (Modified from McLysaght et al. [25]). To estimate the age of divergence of two duplicated protein relative to the age of a reference speciation event (fly-human in this example) a phylogenetic tree including the two duplicates (P1 and P2), the fly ortholog, B, and an outgroup sequence, O, is constructed assuming a constant rate of evolution. O is chosen to be the most evolutionary distant sequence, to allow rooting of the tree. The relative age of P1 and P2 is then calculated as the ratio of the two distances X/D and is expressed as a fraction of the fly-human divergence age, D. The distribution of relative ages of human duplicates exhibits an excess of gene duplication in the age class 0.4-0.7 D (indicated by a double star symbol). This indicates that a burst of gene duplication activity took place in the period 350-650 Mya, which is compatible with at least one round of polyploidization.
Dating of divergence can also be carried out using protein-based distance. This method is particularly useful when synonymous codon positions are saturated because protein sequences are known to diverge more slowly. However, the rate of protein divergence varies considerably among proteins so that in contrast to synonymous substitution, a global molecular clock cannot be applied to all pairs of duplicated proteins. The approach requires building protein families, which include proteins encoded by duplicated genes as well as at least two orthologous proteins and then reconstructing their phylogeny. One of the orthologs (O in Fig. 3B) must be sufficiently outside the clade of interest so that it may serve as an outgroup to root the phylogeny while the other (B in Fig. 3B) is used to calibrate the protein-specific molecular clock. A test of molecular clock (such as the two cluster test [41], the relative rate test [42] or a likelihood ratio test [43]) can be applied to the reconstructed phylogenies beforehand to exclude those protein families that diverge significantly from the protein-specific molecular clock model. Once a protein family has passed successfully the test of molecular clock a linearized tree can be computed to re-estimate the branch lengths under the assumption of constant rate of evolution [41]. Then the relative ages of the duplicated protein pairs can be expressed as a proportion of the age of divergence between the species B and the species containing the duplicates (P). If the date of separation between the species B and P is known then the relative ages of duplicated proteins can be converted to absolute time. As for the Ks analysis, gene pairs can be distributed according to their Ks values to look for temporal peaks of gene duplication. This method is particularly suitable when one wishes to seek evidence for very old polyploidy events. It has notably provided supportive evidence for paleopolyploidy in Arabidopsis [44] and vertebrates [9, 45-47].

Map-Based Approaches

Intraspecific Methods

Map-based approaches are aimed at identifying the remnants of homology between the duplicated chromosomes. Over evolutionary time the initial perfect colinearity between duplicated chromosomes is progressively degraded by point mutations, insertions, deletions and inversions. Ancient, degraded homology relationships like the duplicated regions in a paleopolyploid genome are best identified by comparing gene content and most commonly gene order as well. Chromosomal segments are thought to be homologous if they share a significant number of homologous genes (identified as such using a tool such as BLAST), which are often organized in colinear order.

The first map-based computational implementation to detect a polyploidization was the 1997 study by Wolfe and Shields [6] in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. By assessing the locations of duplicated genes, the authors identified duplicated chromosomal regions in which at least three pairs of duplicated genes were organized in the same order with intergenic distances of <50 kilobases. Approximately 50% of the genome could be paired into sister regions; the large sister regions did not overlap each other, and the overall orientation of duplicated regions with respect to centromeres and telomeres had remained largely the same. The authors concluded that this duplication pattern could only be caused by a WGD event. Following this pioneering study, several other eukaryotic genomes were analyzed using this approach with some methodological adaptation.

A map-based analysis on the Arabidopsis genome [8] concluded that 80% was duplicated and that many regions had undergone multiple duplications, suggesting a series of polyploidy events in its lineage. The detection of the older polyploidy event was largely obscured by the more recent polyploidy event, because duplicated blocks from the recent polyploidization overlap the old polyploidization’s blocks. To facilitate identifying the older polyploidy event Blanc et al. [34] and Bowers et al. [48] reconstructed the approximate gene order of the ancestral genome that existed before the recent polyploidy event took place. This was done by walking along the entire genome and merging each duplicated block with its sister region, keeping the longest copy of each ohnolog pair and keeping genes in unduplicated regions of the genome unchanged in location. The polyploidy detection method was then carried out on the pseudo ancestral genome to identify old duplicated blocks from the earlier polyploidy events.

Ignoring gene order, Friedman and Hughes [49] compared pairs of genomic windows in three eukaryotic genomes and counted the number of homologous gene pairs between them. They found all the genomes had significantly more windows sharing two or more homologous gene pairs, when compared to randomized genomes, suggesting en-block duplications. This same method was applied as well on the Arabidopsis genome to recover duplicated regions [50]. A slightly different approach taking some account of gene order was used in McLysaght [26] and co-workers’ analysis of the human genome. Starting with a complete list of similarity hits for all genes in the genome it begins with two homologous genes from different chromosomes and looks for two other homologous genes within a set distance of the first two. These are added to the first to create a cluster and the process continues until it can add no more genes to the cluster. These clusters define paired sister regions in the genome called paralogons; 44% of the human genome was found to be covered by paralogons with six or more pairs of homologous genes, strongly suggesting a polyploidy event in the early vertebrate lineage.

Different labs have developed their own implementations of intra-specific map-based approaches. Many of them have made their programs available to the scientific community, which can be installed and run on personal computers, and we discuss a few of them here. Note that these programs can be used to identify synteny relationship between genomes as well. Hampson and colleagues [51] developed the program LineUp (http://titus.bio.ucl.ac/lineup/) to identify homologous chromosomal regions in maize using gene/genetic maker order information. The method allows for rearrangements among duplicated genes (inversions) as well as gene deletion or insertion and evaluates the statistical significance of the identified homologous regions. DiagHunter [52] and DAGchainer [53], amongst others, use different and interesting implementations to also identify homologous chromosomal regions, and compare well in tests on Arabidopsis.
Vandepoele and co-workers [54] developed the ADHoRe program (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/software.php), which is an interesting improvement of the map-based approach for identifying highly degenerate homologous segments. This program has proven very powerful identifying duplicated regions in the Arabidopsis [55] and rice [56] genomes as well as detecting homologous regions between the two [57]. It uncovers chromosomal segments that are homologous to other regions, but cannot be recognized as such because of extreme gene loss. First clearly colinear segments are aligned into a ‘genomic profile’ that combines information on gene order, strand localization and content from two (or more) segments. Inversions, deletions or insertions are tolerated. A homology matrix of the degenerated segment mapped against this profile can reveal homology that could not be identified directly by comparing individually with any of the segments forming the profile. The revealed homology can be tested for significance and if significant can itself be aligned into the genomic profile to help with revealing homology in further potentially homologous but degenerated segments.

Most map-based methods strongly emphasize gene order information. In the case of ADHoRe and LineUp their treatment of these data can be very time consuming, though DAGchainer is much faster. In addition these algorithms may fail to identify highly jumbled regions. Recently Hampson et al. [58] questioned the utility of using gene order and strand information for detecting efficiently homologous regions under reasonable application conditions. They noted that if homologous regions were frequently rearranged through inversions or translocations, shared gene density might be more informative than gene order or strand information. This prompted them to develop the program CloseUp (http://contact14.ics.uci.edu/closeup/) that detects significant chromosomal homology using shared-gene density alone. CloseUp was found to compare favorably in terms of runtime and efficiency against ADHoRe and LineUp using both artificial and real data [58].

**Interspecific Methods**

So far the map-based approaches discussed have utilized intraspecific data - of necessity due to the lack of genome sequences from closely related organisms. Differential gene loss, where two sister regions lose a complementary set of genes, can obscure their common origin and make it challenging to identify them as duplicated segments using only intraspecific data. Sister regions in degenerate polyploids are interspersed with ‘singletons’ – genes that were duplicated but have subsequently returned to single-copy. These have little information value in intraspecific comparative mapping since only ohnologs are used as anchor points. However, singletons can be harnessed by using genomic data from an ancestral species that diverged before polyploidization. It was suggested [6, 59] that the clearest way to prove the existence of an ancient WGD would be to find another species (a pre-WGD species) that diverged from the purportedly paleopolyploid lineage (leading to the modern post-WGD species) before the WGD event. Immediately after genome duplication every pre-WGD chromosomal region corresponds to two duplicated blocks in the polyploid genome. In terms of gene order every pair of neighboring genes is also duplicated. Due to the nature of gene loss after polyploidization, a pair of previously adjacent genes may end up as singletons on different chromosomes. Without nearby ohnologs as anchors, the pairing of the region would have been impossible to detect intraspecifically, but the gene adjacency relationship is preserved in the pre-WGD genome. Therefore ancestral gene order information can provide the missing connection between sister regions.

Wong and co-workers [60] were among the first to use gene content and gene order data from closely related species to improve the identification of a polyploidy event (in this case in S. cerevisiae). Using preliminary sequence data from 13 other hemiascomycete yeasts a proximity plot was generated with a dot at the co-ordinate (x, y) if the S. cerevisiae genes x and y are neighboring genes in any of the other 13 genomes, overcoming the loss of gene order information due to differential gene loss. Including dots for all ohnologs as well showed that over 80% of the genome is duplicated, up from 50% using only intraspecific genomic data, and strongly supporting the case that S. cerevisiae is a degenerate polyploid.

Using an interspecific map based approach, it has now been demonstrated conclusively that polyploidy events occurred in the lineages of the hemiascomycete yeasts [18, 61, 62] and teleost fish [11]. Kellis and co-workers suggested [18] that to convincingly demonstrate the existence of an ancient polyploidy event, these pre-WGD and post-WGD species should be related by a 1:2 mapping: where almost every region in the pre-WGD species corresponds to two sister regions in the post-WGD species; the two post-WGD sister regions should contain an ordered subset of the genes in the corresponding pre-WGD region, and nearly every region in the post-WGD species would correspond to one pre-WGD region and so be paired with a post-WGD sister region. In nearly simultaneous studies Kellis et al. [18] and Dietrich et al. [61], respectively using K. waltii and A. gossypii as the pre-WGD species, both showed conclusively that S. cerevisiae meets these criteria, and thus is a paleopolyploid. The sister regions in the post-WGD species were described as blocks of double conserved synteny (DCS).

Fig. 4 illustrates how convincing this method is. Using a different pre-WGD species, K. lactis [62], the 1:2 mapping of the regions from S. cerevisiae chromosomes (colored by chromosome) in a DCS pattern is striking. 64% of all the genes in the K. lactis genome are in a DCS block and DCS blocks can be identified confidently even in the absence of any remaining ohnologs, with evidence instead coming from gene interleaving and 2:1 mapping with orthologous segments in the pre-WGD species. The inset in Fig. 4 shows a close up of a complete DCS block on K. lactis chromosome 3. The example is typical of DCS blocks, with almost all pre-WGD genes having matches in at least one of the two post-WGD sister regions, and genes from the two post-WGD sister regions interleaving onto the pre-WGD species while preserving order and orientation and a small number of remaining ohnologs. Recent work by Byrne et al. [63] reinforces the conclusion that a polyploidy event took place in the lineage of the hemiascomycetes by showing the level of double conserved synteny to be consistently high in
all pair-wise comparisons between three pre-WGD and three post-WGD yeast genomes.

Jaillon and co-workers [11] applied this method to the genome of the teleost fish *Tetraodon nigroviridis* using the human genome as the pre-WGD species. Again the DCS pattern (associating two regions in *Tetraodon* with one in human) was immediately apparent across the entire genome and showed conclusively that a WGD event took place in the teleost fish lineage subsequent to its divergence from mammals. While intraspecific methods give the first signal of WGD, they depend on a minority of duplicated genes (the ohnologs), while the interspecific DCS signature considers all genes with orthologs in the pre-WGD species. This greatly improves the ability to resolve a WGD. In the case of *Tetraodon* it makes the difference between using 3% of the genome to try detect a WGD, and using 80% to prove a WGD took place.

More recently Dehal and Boore [64] reconstructed the phylogenetic relationships of all gene families from the full gene sets of the basal chordate outgroup *Ciona intestinalis* (a tunicate) and three vertebrates *Takifugu rubripes* (a pufferfish), mouse and human. The authors determined when each gene duplicated by comparing gene family trees with the evolutionary tree of the organisms. When the genomic map positions of only the subset of paralogous genes that were duplicated prior to the fish-tetrapod split was plotted, their global physical organization shows clear patterns of four-way paralogous regions (tetra-paralogons) covering a large part of the human genome (25% after 450 Mya). This pattern, with each genomic region corresponding in gene arrangement to sets of paralogs in three other genomic regions, provides some of the most convincing evidence yet for two distinct genome duplication events early in vertebrate evolution. The fact that paralogous human genes generated by duplications after the split of fish and tetrapods appear to result largely from tandem duplications further reinforces the authors’ case.

**POST-POLYPLOIDY EVOLUTION**

Two crucial questions for biologists are how genetic complexity arises and what is the consequence of genetic redundancy. It is now well established that gene duplication, including through genome duplication in eukaryotes, is the main engine of the creation of new genes [1]. Yet the evolution of duplicated genes and how it connects with genetic complexity are less well understood. Many computational studies have addressed various aspects of the evolution of duplicated genes, often without regard to the origin of duplicates. However the timing of gene duplication is always a critical parameter when comparing evolutionary attributes between duplicated genes. The advantage of analyzing duplicated genes derived from a polyploidization is that they all have been created at the same time, fixing this parameter. Another aspect that differentiates single gene duplication processes from polyploidization is that models of pathway evolution suggest that diversification of developmental and physiological functions depends on many genes acquiring novel protein functions and that this is most likely to occur if many genes are duplicated simultaneously [65-67].

Most recent bioinformatics analyses of the evolution of duplicated genes formed by polyploidization have focused on the patterns of gene loss and function/sequence divergence. Here, we will review computational analyses that address the evolution of duplicates on a genome-wide scale. These analyses have benefited hugely from the increasing amount of large-scale functional and sequence data. Nowadays researchers have at their disposal various types of data that describe or characterize functional
attributes of most genes in a genome. These include protein-protein interaction, proteomics (protein expression, post-translational modifications), and transcriptomics (gene transcription) data as well as various ontology systems and annotation databases that organize genes into functional categories. The improvement of sequencing technologies and the reduction of their costs make the sequencing of several related eukaryotic genomes more and more accessible. The availability of several genomes sharing the same polyploid ancestor allows for the analysis of the fate of the same duplicated genes in different lineages.

**Pattern of Duplicated Gene Loss**

Interesting experimental work with neo-synthesized allotetraploids of *Arabidopsis* and wheat has shown gene elimination and epigenetic silencing take place almost immediately [68] and that in wheat the patterns of loss are to some degree reproducible [69, 70]. Gene loss is the fate of most duplicated genes and can occur rapidly [13, 14]. Walsh [71] predicted that almost all redundant duplicated copies of genes would become pseudogenes: one of the duplicates is required to maintain the function provided by the ancestral gene and the other is free to accumulate deleterious mutations. However a substantial fraction of duplicated genes formed by polyploidization are actually maintained in the genome [17] raising the questions as to why and how duplicated genes escape deletion. Researchers have therefore investigated several aspects of the gene loss process and tried to identify which factors determine the loss or retention of duplicates.

**Function of Retained Duplicate Genes**

The development of annotation databases and standardized vocabularies to annotate genomes has offered new opportunities to classify genes into broad functional categories and analyze the function of large set of genes automatically. Using the Yeast Proteome Database annotations [72], Seoighe and Wolfe [73] analyzed the function of the duplicated genes formed by polyploidization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. They found that duplicated genes are not distributed evenly among functional categories, which indicates the fate of duplicated genes is influenced by the function of the protein they encode. Cyclin genes, cytosolic ribosomal protein genes, heat shock protein genes, and genes involved in glucose metabolism and in the signal transduction apparatus were found to be preferentially retained in duplicate, while all the 44 mitochondrial ribosomal protein genes returned to single copy state. They also showed that selection for increased levels of gene expression was a significant factor determining which genes were retained in duplicate and which were returned to a single copy state.

An analysis by Blanc and Wolfe [74] of the function of the duplicated genes formed by polyploidization in *Arabidopsis* using the Gene Ontology [75] and MIPS [76] annotations also reached the conclusion that duplicates were significantly over-represented in some functional categories (including transcription factors, ribosomal proteins, 26S proteasome and signal transduction) while they were significantly under-represented in others (including DNA repair proteins, defense related proteins and tRNA synthetases). Interestingly, transcription factors, which are the functional category most preferentially retained in duplicate in *Arabidopsis*, are also over-represented among duplicates after polyploidization in vertebrates [77] and fishes [78], suggesting a universal route for post-polyploidy evolution in higher eukaryotes. In addition, Seoighe and Gehring [79] found that genes retained in duplicate following one round of genome duplication in *Arabidopsis* are significantly more likely to be retained again after a subsequent genome duplication. Maere et al. [17] made the striking observation that many functional categories that are highly retained in duplicate after polyploidization in *Arabidopsis* tend to be poorly retained in duplicate after small-scale duplication and vice versa. These results have shown that the massive gene loss that follows polyploidization is not the result of a mere random deactivation of duplicated genes but instead that the fate of duplicated genes is somewhat tied to their function.

What could cause some functional categories of genes to be preferentially retained or lost after duplication? It has been suggested that genomic redundancy of developmental genes may be selectively maintained to mask the consequences of null homozygotes or errors in transcription and translation [80, 81]. However theoretical models suggest that one member of a redundant duplicate pair is always eventually lost by random genetic drift [82]. Gibson and Spring [83] argued that genes that encode multidomain proteins might have an increased chance of survival after duplication if point mutations in those genes tend to be dominant and have deleterious phenotypes. Another perspective [17, 84, 85] suggests that a significant cause for the retention of functional duplicates is the requirement for the preservation of stoichiometry within complexes or pathways. The survival (or loss) of dosage-sensitive duplicated genes may constrain the retention (or loss) of paralogs encoding other stoichiometric interactors [86].

**Comparative Genomics**

Central to the issues comparative genomics has been able to address are the patterns of the loss and retention of duplicated genes in post-WGD species, by comparison both to pre-WGD species and also other post-WGD species. The former comparison allows for the confident assignment of pre-WGD outgroup orthologs to duplicates and the study of the fate of those duplicates, while the later comparison allows for divergently resolved (in particular, reciprocally lost) loci to be identified which helps cast light on post-polyploidy speciation and species specific evolution. The hemiascomycete yeasts offer such a set of genomes, offering a unique opportunity to resolve post-polyploidy duplicate gene fate.

The utility of comparative genomics has only been fully realized with the availability of these fully annotated pre-WGD yeast genomes in the last two years. A study [87] reporting the full genome sequences of three post-WGD species identified fast evolving and species-specific genes. However the speciations were not close enough to the WGD to provide many cases of reciprocal gene loss. Cliften et al. [88] also sequenced, to 4x coverage, a number of very closely related post-WGD species, as well as one distant post-WGD species, *S. castellii*, and one pre-WGD species, *S. kluveri*. In 2004 the fully annotated genome sequences for three pre-WGD yeast species [18, 61, 62] and a third post-
WGD species [62] became available. Used initially to confirm a WGD took place in the *S. cerevisiae* lineage they also opened to the door to using comparative genomics to study post-polyplody evolution.

Kellis and co-workers [18] carried out evolutionary analyses on the paleopolyploid yeast *S. cerevisiae* and the pre-WGD yeast *K. waltii*, noting that the relationship between them offered the first comparison across an ancient WGD event. They calculated that 88% of duplicates have been lost, *via* many small deletions (with an average size of two genes), typically balanced between the paired sister regions. With synteny now establishing the ancestry of duplicates (ohnologs) with certainty, Ohno’s theory that after a WGD one gene copy is free to diverge while the other retains the ancestral function was put to the test. The 76 ohnologs with accelerated protein evolution relative to their pre-WGD ortholog were found to be biased towards protein kinases and regulatory proteins. Supporting the model that one paralog retained the ancestral function and the other was free to evolve rapidly, in 95% of these loci only one paralog experienced accelerated evolution, hence allowing inferences about newly evolved functions to be made.

A recent study by Byrne and Wolfe [63] uses synteny to study post-polyplody evolution in four pre-WGD and three post-WGD yeast genomes. The resulting bioinformatics platform (called YGOB) allows homology to be assessed in the correct syntenic context, allowing for the confident identification of fast evolving loci and overcoming some known limitations of BLAST [89]. However the real power of this approach is its ability to systematically examine the patterns of duplicate gene loss among paleopolyploid yeasts (Fig. 5). These losses can proceed differently in different post-WGD species, a process called differential gene loss [90-93]. To study differential gene loss between pairs of post-WGD species, each pre-WGD genome was used as a scaffold against which the synteny of the gene presences and absences at each ancestral locus in both post-WGD species were scored. Fig. 5 illustrates the approach schematically. The majority (74-80%) of traceable loci had single orthologous copies of the gene being retained in both species, in line with expectation [68, 94]. The remaining loci feature both syntenic copies of the gene and were therefore still present in two copies at speciation, with many fewer (8-11%) retained in duplicate now. Of particular interest was the identification of genes (4-7%) that were duplicated at the WGD, remained two-copy at speciation, but have since been differentially inactivated in different post-WGD species, each one losing a different, paralogous, copy of the gene. These loci will likely be informative about post-polyplody speciations [2, 14].

As more paleopolyploid genome sequences and related pre-WGD genome sequences become available in more lineages, the utility of comparative genomics will continue to increase, both for the study of post-polyplody evolution within those lineages and perhaps more interestingly in a further level of comparison between the various evolutionary clades featuring a polyplody event. This should allow both the common and distinct elements of post-polyplody evolution to be discerned, casting light on the fundamentals of what happens to a genome after polyplodyization, and perhaps even dissecting the details (auto- versus allo-polyplodyization) of the WGD events themselves. As these fundamentals become clear, new computational strategies for examining post-polyplody evolution may present themselves.

**Homology in Paleopolyploids**

Given the importance of BLAST to homology assignment it is worth noting that one of the paleopolyploid studies mentioned in the previous section [63] shows that some common assumptions about homology assignment are not well founded. For example, it was discovered that 4-7% of the single-copy homologs between any pair of post-WGD species are paralogs, confounding the widespread assumption that single-copy homologs shared by two
...most sequence (and often functional) divergence. The some of the most interesting homolog pairs, since they have ohnologs [63]. Rather than being ignored these are perhaps homolog BLAST hits are in fact orthologs, paralogs or ohnolog pair many genes with very weak or indirect (via their chromosomal gene contexts show unambiguously that With only 13% sequence identity and no direct BLASTP hit, their chromosomal gene contexts show unambiguously that they are ohnologs and their identical lengths (609 amino acids) and orientation reinforces the point. In short, the context, synteny view is important to accurately examine genome structure and evolution.

**Pattern of Divergence Between Duplicated Genes**

A widespread view is that complete functional redundancy among duplicated genes cannot be evolutionary stable [40, 82], but see [81]. The theoretical models described above provide explanations as to why some functionally redundant duplicated genes may have gene loss “delayed” or be selected for gene-dosage. However a fundamental assumption is that for both copies of duplicated genes to be stably fixed (i.e. maintained by selection) in the population, they must diverge in some way to carry out distinct functions [96]. Two models of functional divergence are generally considered. In one model, neo-functionalization, one of the redundant copies evolves a new function [1] while the other retains the ancestral function. In the other model, sub-functionalization, the two gene copies acquire complementary loss-of-function mutations in independent sub-functions, so that both genes are required to produce the full complement of functions of the ancestral gene [97]. The recent papers reviewed in this section address empirically how duplicated genes have evolved.

**Exploration of Functional Data and Functional Divergence Between Duplicates**

Functional divergence among duplicated genes is difficult to quantify. Different genes play different biological roles in many different ways. Some gene products are part of subcellular structures, other engage in protein-protein interactions, interact with DNA or RNA, or catalyze the transformation of small molecules. Genes with the same biochemical activities may be expressed at different times or in different places. Because the integration of the various aspects of gene functionality is complex, it is impossible to use a single simple measure to summarize them. Recent advances in post-genomic technologies have however allowed for the analysis of various aspects of gene function on a genome-wide scale.

In the context of post-polyploidy evolution, one of the most studied types of large-scale functional data is transcription intensity. Frequently expression intensities are measured for several thousands of genes under different environmental conditions and tissues. Using expression data generated by microarray or MPSS (Massive Parallel Signature Sequencing) technologies, Blanc and Wolfe [74] and Haberer et al. [98] analyzed the divergence in expression pattern among pairs of duplicates formed by polyploidization in *Arabidopsis*. Both studies showed that a majority of duplicated genes experienced a significant divergence in their expression patterns. A similar conclusion was reached for 40 polyploidization-derived gene pairs examined in cotton [99]. The expression of duplicated genes has also been studied using large-scale transcription data in yeast [100-102], human [103] and plants [38, 104]. The general consensus emerging from these studies is that a large proportion of duplicated genes diverge in expression rapidly after duplication, and the vast majority of gene pairs eventually become divergent in expression. Blanc and Wolfe [74] found several cases where groups of duplicated gene pairs formed by polyploidization have diverged in concert, forming two parallel co-regulated networks, each containing one member of each gene pair. This observation has implications for divergence in metabolic pathways and confirms previous assumptions [65-67].

Other types of functional data have been used to study the divergence of duplicated genes. For example, Wagner [100] analyzed the fitness effects of null mutation on 45 polyploidization-derived duplicated genes on yeast chromosome 5. His results indicate the spectrum of mutant phenotypes seen in duplicates is not significantly different from that seen in other genes. Nor do the phenotypes resulting from mutations in duplicates become more severe the more they diverge in gene sequence. He concludes that polyploidy has not contributed any lasting genetic redundancy to the yeast genome. Instead he suggests that whole-genome duplication generated a transient wave of...
redundancy, which was quickly resolved by either deletion of sequences or their acquisition of new functions. However other studies [105-107] provide several lines of evidence showing the significant role of duplicate genes in genetic robustness, where the loss of function of one copy is compensated by the other duplicated copy, resulting in no fitness effect. This kind of compensation may be mediated in the majority of cases by recent duplicates before they disappear through deletion or diverge in function. Using protein-protein interaction data from yeast, Wagner [101, 108, 109] found that duplicated gene products do not remain associated with the same interacting proteins, implying that the addition and elimination of interactions between proteins occurs shortly after duplication. This result also points to the rapid functional divergence of duplicated genes. Brun et al. [110] developed a computational method, PRODISTIN, that clusters proteins with respect to their common interactors identified from protein-protein interaction data. Using this method they analyzed 41 pairs of duplicates formed by polyploidization in S. cerevisiae [111] and found that for both gene products in 26 pairs, the lists of interactors are very similar between the duplicates. For the remaining 15 gene pairs, the duplicates were interacting with different partners and therefore exhibited evidence of functional divergence.

**Molecular Evolution**

Although duplication followed by functional diversification is widely believed to be the main source of molecular novelty during evolution [1], the details of the underlying molecular mechanisms are not well understood. Molecular evolution and phylogenetic approaches can be used to shed light on the process of divergence between duplicated genes. The aim of these approaches is to characterize the rate and the nature of changes in sequences, and the history of past evolutionary events as well as inferring functional shifts.

Functional changes can leave signatures in the sequences of a protein family, which may then be detected with a well-constructed history of their relationships and replacements. The challenge is to identify this record from the background noise of molecular evolution. Divergence of protein function is often revealed by a rate change in those amino acid residues of the protein that are most directly responsible for its new function [112-115]. One simple way to detect rate change is to construct a phylogenetic tree including the two protein duplicates and an outgroup sequence and to test for asymmetrical sequence divergence between the duplicates (i.e. one of the duplicates has evolved at a rate significantly higher than the other) using a relative rate test. Using this approach, it has been estimated that ~20% or more of duplicated genes formed by polyploidization evolved asymetrically in Arabidopsis [74, 116], yeast [18] and fishes [78]. Similar proportions were observed for pairs of duplicated genes in S. cerevisiae, D. melanogaster, C. elegans [117], S. cerevisiae and mammals [115].

More elaborate computational methods for detecting functional shifts in protein or gene family alignments have been recently developed. For relatively recent events these tests usually rely on comparisons of the nonsynonymous (replacement - Ka) to synonymous (silent - Ks) substitution rates for coding DNA [40, 116, 118]. The ratio of the two measures (ω=Ks/Ka) gives an indication of the strength of natural selection acting to constrain (purifying selection, ω<1) or accelerate (positive selection, ω>1) the fixation of non-synonymous mutations in the sequences. The analysis of the ω ratio for 242 duplicated genes formed by the most recent polyploidization event in Arabidopsis showed that they were all under purifying selection and that none exhibited evidence of positive selection [116].

However this approach is limited by the relatively rapid saturation of synonymous substitutions by multiple hits. In addition, the ω ratio estimated between a pair of sequences can only be calculated as an average over all codons. Generally few codons are subject to positive selection, with the rest of the sequence evolving under purifying selection. Hence criteria such as an average ω greater than one are very conservative for detecting positive selection. Other approaches to study older protein subfamilies rely on the amino acid replacement rates alone to identify sites that are most likely responsible for their divergent, as well as conserved, functions [102, 112, 114, 119-123]. Future studies, applying these methods to analyze the evolution of duplicated genes on a genome-wide scale, may yield interesting new results.

**Reconstruction of Ancestral Genomes**

Interesting work has been done to provide generic and abstracted solutions to the problem of reconstructing ancestral genomes as they appeared just before polyploidization [124]. Most approaches are however rooted in the analysis of specific genomes and the tackling of specific problems related to detecting and studying polyploidy, while the availability of genomic sequences for outgroup pre-WGD species now reduces the need for such intraspecific methods.

The earliest use of a reconstructed ancestral genome to examine post-polyploid evolution was the 1998 study by Seoighe and Wolfe [59] which aimed to estimate properties of the yeast genome prior to the WGD and to reconstruct subsequent gene order evolution. The authors first reversed reciprocal translocations to bring the genome back to a symmetrical configuration, as it would be expected just after WGD. Simulations showed this approach could not regenerate the original block order when the number of translocations is large (as in the real genome), with the fraction of the genome being placed in duplicated blocks decreasing, smaller blocks not being detected, and symmetry being recovered in less reversals than the actual number of translocations. The relatively large minimum number of reversals needed to return the real yeast data to symmetry implied that many small duplicated blocks were then undetected in the S. cerevisiae genome, and this was subsequently confirmed [18, 60]. The large number of equally parsimonious paths returning the yeast genome to symmetry complicated the reconstruction of the ancestral gene order, but the authors show how a pre-WGD outgroup species would allow this degeneracy to be resolved. Expanding their model by varying both the number of fixed translocations and the number of retained duplicates (ohnologs) in simulations, the authors generated degenerate polyploid genomes similar to the real modern yeast genome, with about 8% retained duplicate and 70-100 translocations.
giving results similar to the real data. They note that in the simulated genomes, as in the real genome, the number of ohnologs recovered in duplicated blocks is less than the actual number present.

Of note recently, Jaillon and co-workers [11] used double conserved synteny (DCS) blocks (see Fig. 4 for examples) to reconstruct the ancestral osteichthyan (bony vertebrate) genome. The DCS blocks define *Tetraodon* regions that arose from the duplication of a common ancestral region, and notably the blocks fall mainly into simple patterns interleaving either just two or three *Tetraodon* chromosomes. Using the distribution of *Tetraodon* orthologs in the human genome allowed for the partial reconstruction of the history of rearrangements in both lineages. Modeling the possible scenarios of genome duplication followed by recent and ancient fusions and breaks led the authors to conclude that ten large scale interchromosomal events was sufficient to explain the data, linking an ancestral genome of 12 chromosomes to the *Tetraodon* genome with 21 chromosomes. The authors showed that previously established genomic evidence (such as known rearrangements) fitted well with the mosaic of ancestral segments in the human and *Tetraodon* genomes, offering support for their reconstructed ancestral genome, with the higher frequency of rearrangements in the human genome underlying the more complex mosaic of ancestral segments in that lineage. The results also cast light on human genome evolution and show major differences in the evolutionary forces shaping the two genomes, for while only one human chromosome underwent no interchromosomal exchange, 11 *Tetraodon* chromosomes were intact.

It will be interesting to see if similar reconstruction efforts in other lineages reveal further species specific and lineage specific aspects of genome evolution. Both as a practical way to help identify nested polyploidizations and also to garner new insights into genome structure and evolution, the reconstruction of ancestral genomes as they were prior to polyploidization continues to be an area where novel computational approaches will prove useful.

THE FUTURE

We are currently heading for a deluge of comparative genomic data, both interspecific data from closely related genomes, and also, excitingly, intraspecific data from multiple genomes of the same species. As regards methodological improvements in the area of polyploidy detection, further simplifications to reduce computation times are certainly likely and there will be a continuing need for new tools to manage and fully utilize the growing quantities of available genomic data. Researchers in this area will also likely surprise us with further innovative methods for identifying the faint traces of ever more ancient and obscured polyploidy events.

As regards post-polyploidy evolution, there is still no concise picture of what precisely is occurring after polyploidization. This “mystery of diploidization” [4] provokes a number of open questions which computational methods are well placed to address. While most duplicates are lost after polyploidization, the process of gene loss is far from random. Further investigation is needed into what determines whether a gene is preserved in duplicate or single copy. Many studies strongly suggest that there is selection for duplicates to be retained either because of redundancy/dosage or functional divergence, but what is the balance between these? Does the rate of chromosome rearrangement accelerate after a polyploidy event? If it does, is it an adaptive or neutral response, is it under genetic control, i.e. is there a genetic response to genome doubling? And while yeast has become the workhorse of the functional genomics community, and therefore most advances have so far been made on it, it will be interesting to see if other lineages, particularly those of multicellular organisms, show the same trends or not.

Thirty-five years after Susumo Ohno [1] first popularized the idea that gene duplication allows one gene copy to diversify in function (with the other maintaining the ancestral function), the relationship between gene duplication and the evolution of new functions is at last beginning to come into focus. As more and more genome sequences become available across the tree of life, interspecific methods in particular are proving to be a powerful way to illuminate post-polyploidy evolution. Ohno’s proposal that polyploidization, by duplicating all genes in a genome, is a powerful engine of evolutionary novelty, has often been controversial, but an increasing body of evidence suggests he was right, and that polyploidy is indeed a fundamental of evolution. Today the design of computational methods to further confirm and explore the consequences of his theories remains an exiting and fruitful area of research, and it will continue to be a fast moving and groundbreaking field in the years ahead.
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